Illinois Freedom of Information Act. Request: Searches for race-bias messages (Chicago Police Department)

| 7 | 71027-92648495@requests.muckrock.com Sun 4/14, 9:59 PM | Ė | Reply all |
|---|---|---|-----------|
| | FOIA | | |
| To help protect your privacy, some content in this message has been blocked. To re-enable the blocked features, click here. | | | |
| To alv | ways show content from this sender, click here. | | |
| Act | ion Items | | |

Chicago Police Department FOIA Office Records Inquiry Section, Unit 163 3510 South Michigan Avenue

Chicago, IL 60653

April 14, 2019

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to the Illinois Freedom of Information Act., I hereby request the following records:

- 1. Documents that show what kind of a database your agency's email is stored in (such as Microsoft Access; Oracle; Microsoft Sharepoint; SQL; or Microsoft Exchange).
- 2a. Documents sufficient to show any FOIA management software or email search software (not including the client one uses to read and reply to email) in use by your agency. These documents could include purchase orders, contracts for use of a service, or—if and only if neither of those are available—some departmental communication portraying the name of the system.
- 2b. Any vendor-provided manuals or instructions or software documentation for software used by the agency to search emails
- 2c. Complete copies of any rules, guidelines, instructions, or best practices in use by your agency for searching emails and texts in response to FOIA requests
- 3. Documents that show all of, and represent the entirety of each of, your agency's contracts with cell/mobile service providers (sometimes called carriers). Also we request any documents that describe

a deletion schedule for text messages sent to/from the devices on your agency's provider contract. For this purpose, "deletion schedule" means a number of days before messages are regularly deleted from the carrier's data repositories. Such a schedule would also specify whether that date is a rolling daily deletion after that many days, or rather periodical, where the number of days represents the period.

- 4a. Keyword searches of all SMS ("text") records sent to and received by all sworn officers on agency-issued mobile devices
- 4b. Keyword searches of all emails sent to and received by sworn officers on agency email accounts.
- For the keywords on 4a and 4b, please refer to the list at the bottom of this request. Date range is four months prior to the date of the request until the date of the request. Please provide the requesters evidence that your search was agnostic toward capital and lowercase letters throughout each searched character string.
- For each returned *email or SMS* result, please include the following metadata: unique sender identification; unique recipient identification; date and time of transmission; and document(s) sufficient to show the full name of the officer associated with the unique identifier. (An example of unique sender/recipient identification would be an email address or a phone number, depending on the type of record.)
- 5a. Documents that show what, if any, text-based messaging clients or platforms (you might call them software-based "systems" for messaging, or "instant messaging") are available for use on computers in your patrol cars. Also we request documents that show what public body maintains or is responsible for each of those clients/platforms. For instance, sometimes a messaging system is maintained and offered by a state agency like highway patrol; other systems are built or contracted-for locally, by your jurisdiction itself. We request the above-described documents on any and all messaging systems deployed on a computer in a police vehicle in your jurisdiction.

If your jurisdiction maintains/provides its own software on in-car computers for "instant messaging"—and therefore is the keeper of records associated with the system, including historic message logs—I request:

- 5b. Documents sufficient to show what kind of a database that messages crossing the in-car messaging system are stored in. (Examples might be Microsoft Access; Oracle; SQL or similar). The file extension of the message logs will suffice.
- 5d. Keyword searches of databases containing messages sent and received over the in-car computers' instant messaging system. For the keywords that requester(s) would like searched, please refer to the list at the bottom of this request. Date range is four months prior to the date of the request until the date of the request. Please provide the requesters evidence that your search was agnostic toward capital and lowercase letters throughout each searched character string.
- For each returned *in-car IM* result, please include the following metadata: unique sender identification; unique recipient identification; date and time of transmission; and document(s) sufficient to show the full name of the officer associated with the unique identification. An example of unique sender/recipient identification would, in the case of a car-to-car instant message, be some kind of username corresponding to an officer, or, if and only if an officer identifier is not extant, an identifier for a particular computer or patrol car.

6. Documents that provide evidence of any search your jurisdiction that either the department itself or the public body of which it's a part has undertaken, in the five years prior to the date of this request, for racist, race-biased, or white supremacist terminology. This would include any searches undertaken of text messages, emails, or instant messages, for example, those IMs to and from in-car computers. It need not include an internal investigation of any single officer. Rather, we seek documents that show a search of communications to/from groups of officers, ranging up to the entire department. We seek documents that show the date of the search; the rationale or reason for the search; the search terms used; the name of the public official who requested the search; the total number of officers whose communications were searched (and if a subset of a department, the reason for choosing that subset); the type of communications searched; and any summary of the result(s) of any search. If no document exists that can be construed as a summary of search results, we request the full results of any search.

Please take under advisement:

Communications that contain these terms, if in use by a law enforcement officer, are public and not personal records. Police officers interact directly with a diverse public and any expressions of potentially prejudicial or biased sentiments relate directly to an officer's job responsibilities and performance. They are undoubtedly subject to disclosure. In the event you cite an ongoing investigation as a reason for withholding a particular record, we ask, as a part of this records request, for a document that shows the date (nothing more) of the last internal communication in the investigation.

Nearly every state, yours included, defines a public record as any record that a public body is in "control" of, not just in the "possession" of. This provides for situations where records are stored in the care of a third-party storage facility, or some kind of technology vendor that provides data storage or communications services to the public body in question. To the extent a technology vendor is in possession of the records sought herein, this request, barring justified exemption, compels the public body to seek the requested documents from its technology vendor.

Should a FOIA officer have one or more questions about the request, please contact Brandon Smith via phone (740-505-0038) or the email address this request was sent from. Mr. Smith would be happy to hear from you.

It is not Mr. Smith's wish to overburden your office. An email or phone call is probably in order soon to discuss your office's standard for how much estimated time—say, for review toward redaction—constitutes an unduly burdensome request. That said, we believe the list of search terms contained herein is sufficiently culled to produce only a reasonably number of responsive records.

Rarely does caselaw on what is and is not overly burdensome specify which type of employee is required for processing; the only relevant factor is estimated time by *a* staff member. In other words, if a search would be impossible or overly burdensome for a FOIA staffer, but an IT staffer can do it in ten minutes, then the law requires the public body to request an IT staffer complete the job. This is our purpose in asking for documents showing what type of database each record is stored in: the type of file determines what type of search is possible by a qualified IT staffer.

This request is being made on behalf of Brandon Smith and on behalf of ProPublica.

Search terms list:

nigger

spic

coon

monkey

white power

white genocide

1488

14/88

14 words

fourteen words

mud people

boot party

Curb job

hammerskin

hammer skin

Vinlanders

Blood & Honour

Blood & Honor

Blood and honor

Gab

mein

heil

Reich

Muslim problem

White genocide

White civil rights

Knights

Filthy jew

Aryan

Confederate flag

Dixie

Diversity is a code word

Globalist

fashy

Chimp out

Chimpout

Goy

Kek

shekels

Kike

TRS

Shills

Identitarian

Stormfront

Daily Stormer

Identity Evropa

Identity Europa

Cultural marxist

Anti-white
White identity
Jewish Question
JQ
ZOG
Klansman
White pride
WPWW

Rahowa Ghost skin

The requested documents will be made available to the general public, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes.

In the event that there are fees, I would be grateful if you would inform me of the total charges in advance of fulfilling my request. I would prefer the request filled electronically, by e-mail attachment if available or CD-ROM if not.

Thank you in advance for your anticipated cooperation in this matter. I look forward to receiving your response to this request within 5 business days, as the statute requires.

Sincerely,

Brandon Smith

Filed via MuckRock.com

E-mail (Preferred): 71027-92648495@requests.muckrock.com

Upload documents directly:

https://www.muckrock.com/https://accounts.muckrock.com/accounts/login/?next=https%3A%2F% 2Fwww.muckrock.com%2Faccounts%2Flogin%2F%3Fnext%3D%252Faccounts%252Fagency_login% 252Fchicago-police-department-503%252Fsearches-for-race-bias-messages-chicago-police-department-71027%252F%26email%3Dfoia%

2540chicagopolice.org&url_auth_token=AAAjxsc_dRVH2QcWDjpzQi-TyuE%3A1hFrmA% 3AQytv7OxOBJzktAySicPGiieHAEs#agency-reply

Is this email coming to the wrong contact? Something else wrong? Use the above link to let us know.

For mailed responses, please address (see note):

MuckRock News DEPT MR 71027 411A Highland Ave Somerville, MA 02144-2516

PLEASE NOTE: This request is not filed by a MuckRock staff member, but is being sent through MuckRock by the above in order to better track, share, and manage public records requests. Also note that improperly addressed (i.e., with the requester's name rather than "MuckRock News" and the department number) requests might be returned as undeliverable.

** EXTERNAL EMAIL WARNING ** This email originated outside of the Chicago Police Department. **NEVER CLICK, DOWNLOAD, or OPEN** unexpected links or attachments. **NEVER** provide User ID (PC Number) or Password or other sensitive information. If this email seems suspicious, contact the City of Chicago Help Desk at 312-744-DATA (312-744-3282) or follow instructions on THE WIRE to report Junk Email or SPAM.